Every year several languages die out. Some people believe that it is not important because life will be easier if there are few languages in the world.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

Throughout history, by virtue of wars, diseases and technological improvements, many languages have disappeared, hence the imminent disappearance of the related cultures. Some others, however, argue that in the <u>route</u> of evolution these losses are inevitable and these will <u>end up with</u> some benefits such as easy understandable communication.

On the one hand, there is a backlash in some quarters against neglecting to <u>preventing</u> languages from extinction. They believe that a local language is more than just words. It links a community with its past and heritage. Therefore, if a society <u>stop</u> speaking their language, they will lose a part of themselves. Moreover, when a language dies out, not only does the world lose cultural, intellectual and linguistic diversity, but also cultural identity. Thus, they have the firm intention of maintaining and keeping alive their mother tongue owing to <u>reduce</u> the cultural impact of this potentially hazardous phenomenon.

On the other hand, many people argue that it is not necessary to rescue an endangered language. The death of a way of <u>speak</u> is organic and a process of evolution. they think that attempting to save a language represents a need to cling to past rather than focusing on moving forward. Furthermore, the decrease in the number of languages makes communication more straightforward and subsequently travelling easier because no longer do people have to know more local <u>tongue</u> to communicate with the residents.

Consequently, whilst many tongues vanish from the earth every day, the cultural innovation and the technological advancements speed up and accelerate, so it does not seem to be <u>a</u> today's concern to make a special effort <u>keep</u> the languages alive.